REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the allowance of Claims 4-5, 7-10 and 29-34.

Applicant will now address the Examiner's remaining rejection.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §112

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-3, 20-22 and 26-28 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, the Examiner alleges that the claims do not have a definition for the first face and the second face.

While Applicant traverses this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicant has amended independent Claim 1 to recite second faces, that the first face is located between the second faces, and that the main face, the first face, and the second faces are faced with the opposed electrode. Applicant respectfully submits that this amendment is supported by the specification and drawings. For example, the first face is supported by reference numeral 251 while the second faces are supported by reference numerals 256A and 256B in Fig. 6A. Hence, the first face is located between the second faces. As shown in Fig. 3, the main face, first face and the second faces are faced with the opposed electrode (see also e.g. pages 7-8 of the specification).

Independent Claims 20 and 26 have been amended to recite first faces, that the main face is located between the first faces, and that the main face and first faces are faced with the opposed electrode. The first faces are shown, for example, by reference numerals 201 and 203 in Fig. 1A. The main face is located between the first faces, and the main face and the first faces are faced

with the opposed electrode 301, as shown in Fig. 3.

Hence, it is respectfully submitted that the rejected claims are supported by the

specification and drawings and therefore, the enablement requirement has been fulfilled.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

New Claims

Applicant is also adding new Claims 35-46. It is respectfully submitted that these claims

should be allowable for at least some of the reasons provided by the Examiner for allowed Claims

4 and 29.

If any fee should be due for these new claims, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in a condition for

allowance and should be allowed.

If any fee should be due for this amendment, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 13, 2004

Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.

200 West Adams Street

Suite 2850

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 236-8500

12